Many clients receiving conventional evidence-based treatment for mental or substance use disorders may also try various nonmainstream, or complementary, health approaches to treat their disorders or to relieve symptoms; some may do so without professional guidance. Clients may also independently turn to complementary products or practices to address co-occurring medical issues such as pain or to achieve personal health and wellness goals such as weight loss. At the same time, an increasing number of medical facilities and behavioral health programs are including complementary health approaches in their menu of services.

Complementary therapies vary in their safety, cost, and evidence of effectiveness. Clients may spend a great deal of time and money on products and services without knowing whether or how they work. In addition, clients may be unaware that some complementary therapies can have side effects or adversely interact with medications.

Some clients may not tell their behavioral health service practitioners of their use of complementary therapies; other clients may ask practitioners whether complementary health approaches are helpful. Practitioners may also be called on to explain the benefits of any complementary practices offered by their treatment programs. This Advisory gives behavioral health service practitioners a brief overview of complementary health approaches and information on efficacy and cautions so that they can talk knowledgeably with clients and offer appropriate guidance.

What Are Complementary Health Approaches?

The term complementary health approaches encompasses a group of diverse medical and healthcare systems, practices, and products that are not generally considered to be part of conventional medicine. Also called “mainstream,” “modern,” or “Western” medicine, conventional medicine is practiced by doctors holding medical degrees and by allied health professionals, and its practices are evaluated scientifically for evidence of effectiveness.

Most Americans use nonmainstream practices as complements, rather than as alternatives, to conventional medicine. Generally speaking, complementary therapies have not been evaluated as extensively and rigorously as practices in conventional medicine.

Many complementary therapies have emerged out of ancient medical systems, such as Ayurvedic medicine from India, traditional Chinese medicine (which includes acupuncture), traditional African medicine, shamanism, and Native American healing practices. Other systems that offer complementary therapies, such as homeopathy and naturopathy, stem from practices that emerged in Europe beginning in the late 18th century. (See Exhibit 1.)

An increasing number of medical care and behavioral health facilities now offer integrative health (also known as integrative medicine), which combines complementary practices with
conventional treatment plans. More than 60 academic health centers and affiliate institutions in the United States, Canada, and Mexico are members of the Academic Consortium for Integrative Medicine and Health and include complementary health approaches in their curricula. According to the Consortium, integrative medicine and health “reaffirms the importance of the relationship between practitioner and patient, focuses on the whole person, is informed by evidence, and makes use of all appropriate therapeutic and lifestyle approaches, healthcare professionals and disciplines to achieve optimal health and healing.”

Development of scientific evidence in the field of complementary and integrative medicine has been advanced by the National Institutes of Health’s National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health, which funds and conducts research and provides information for consumers and practitioners. Some complementary therapies are now covered by health insurance or other reimbursement systems.

What Types of Practices and Products Are Considered Complementary?

A variety of health practices and products can be included under the label complementary.

**Manipulative and body-based therapies**

The category of manipulative and body-based therapies includes interventions that a trained practitioner performs on the client. It also includes therapies in which the client receives training in certain movements and exercises designed to address overall mental, spiritual, and physical health. (See Exhibit 2.) In the United States, practitioners of manipulative and body-based therapies typically receive formal training and obtain certification granted by a licensing board or a related professional organization.

**Mind and body medicine**

Interventions that seek to harness the power of the mind to make positive health changes are included in the category of mind and body medicine. (See Exhibit 3.) Some of these therapies are based on the idea that the body has energy fields that can be manipulated for health through the use of light, magnets, or touch.

---

* On December 16, 2014, legislation was enacted that changed the name of the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine to the National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health.
Natural products

Natural products include vitamins; minerals; botanicals (herbs, seeds, and other plant products); probiotics (live microorganisms similar to beneficial microorganisms found in the human gut); amino acids; and other substances found in the human diet, such as enzymes. Typically, natural products are taken orally in the form of a pill, capsule, tablet, or liquid (such as in a tea or tincture). They may also be applied topically and absorbed through the skin (such as with a poultice or cream).

Many natural products are aggressively marketed and are widely available to the public without prescription at grocery stores, health food and nutrition stores, and drug stores and through the Internet. Some natural products (such as chamomile for tea and turmeric used as a seasoning) may also be cultivated and grown at home.

Under federal law, if a product is promoted as intended to diagnose, treat, prevent, or cure any disease, it is considered a drug; a natural product promoted without such claims may be marketed as a dietary supplement. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) does not approve dietary supplements for safety (except when a supplement contains a new dietary ingredient not previously approved) and effectiveness, as it does for prescription and over-the-counter medications. However, FDA does monitor the labeling claims made on dietary supplements, and the Federal Trade Commission monitors the advertising claims. Despite this monitoring, product promotions for dietary supplements may imply benefits that cannot be explicitly stated without the product being categorized as a drug.

Because federal law does not require standardization of dietary supplements, products of the same type

---

Exhibit 2. Examples of Manipulative and Body-Based Therapies

**Acupuncture:** from traditional Chinese medicine, a system of procedures involving stimulation of anatomical points on the body to treat various physical, mental, and emotional conditions. The practitioner pierces the patient's skin with thin metallic needles to adjust the flow of energy (qi) through the body. Other variants of acupuncture use heat, electricity, lasers, or pressure.

**Alexander technique:** a movement therapy that seeks to improve posture and movement by teaching a person more efficient use of muscles to enhance the body's overall functioning.

**Chiropractic care:** a form of health care that emphasizes adjusting the spine and joints to influence the body's nervous system and natural defense mechanisms to alleviate pain and improve general health. Doctors of chiropractic, also called chiropractors, use this hands-on therapy (called manipulation or adjustment) as their main clinical procedure.

**Massage:** manipulation of muscle and connective tissue to enhance function of those tissues and promote relaxation and feelings of well-being.

**Osteopathy:** a full-body system of hands-on techniques to alleviate pain, restore function, and promote health and well-being. In the United States, osteopathic physicians practice modern medicine in addition to performing manual osteopathic techniques.

**Pilates:** movement therapy that uses physical exercise to strengthen and build control of muscles, especially those used for posture. Awareness of breathing and precise control of movements are integral components. Special equipment is often used.
differ in terms of ingredient combinations, amounts, and potency. Manufacturers must follow FDA’s Current Good Manufacturing Practices for dietary supplements, which require that dietary supplements be accurately labeled and produced in a manner such that they meet the manufacturer’s specifications regarding the identity, purity, strength, and composition of the ingredients used. However, no regulatory agency tests dietary supplements to ensure that they contain only the ingredients claimed and that ingredient amounts are accurate as stated.

How Popular Are Complementary Health Approaches?

The 2012 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) found that a third of adults in the United States had used some type of complementary practice or product in the 12 months before the survey. This level of use is consistent with levels reported in the surveys conducted in 2002 and 2007. According to the 2012 NHIS, the complementary health approaches most commonly used by American adults are nonvitamin/nonmineral dietary supplements (17.7 percent); deep breathing (10.9 percent); yoga, tai chi, or qi gong (10.1 percent); chiropractic or osteopathic care (8.4 percent); meditation (8.0 percent); and massage therapy (6.9 percent). According to the 2007 NHIS, the most common reason for accessing complementary health approaches is for pain relief (e.g., back, neck, joint).

In 2007, Americans spent $33.9 billion out of pocket for complementary therapies. A large portion of this
amount was for products, classes, and materials not specifically recommended by a healthcare provider or complementary health practitioner.1

How Popular Are Complementary Health Approaches for Treatment of Mental or Substance Use Disorders?

The 2007 NHIS found that 45.4 percent of adults who were current moderate or heavier drinkers* had used complementary health approaches in the preceding 12 months (reasons unspecified). The survey also found that in the preceding 12 months, 2.8 percent of adults had used complementary health approaches for anxiety, and 1.2 percent had used complementary health approaches for depression.2

A 2005 study of data from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication determined that 6.8 percent of participants with a mental or substance use disorder** had used a complementary therapy (alone or with treatment from another source) to treat the disorder in the 12 months before the survey interview.10 The study also found that, in the same 12-month period, 31.3 percent of all mental health visits were to complementary health practitioners.10

What Is the Appeal of Complementary Health Approaches for Behavioral Health Treatment?

For a variety of reasons, complementary health approaches can be especially appealing to behavioral health clients. Individuals who have not been successful with a particular conventional treatment may be curious about trying complementary therapies as adjuncts or treatment alternatives. Or, such individuals may hope that augmenting conventional treatment will enhance their recovery. Some clients may have a lifestyle preference for products and healing systems they perceive as natural, want to avoid medication side effects, or appreciate the hands-on care they receive from a complementary health practitioner.

From the standpoint of the behavioral health treatment program, offering a complementary therapy that is culturally relevant or popular in the community may attract prospective clients to the program’s conventional treatment offerings and support retention. Some practices, such as meditation or movement-based therapies, may help clients gain self-efficacy skills. Complementary practices offered to groups may also enhance clients’ socialization skills and support systems.

How Effective Are Complementary Health Approaches?

Complementary health approaches have been insufficiently studied compared with conventional treatments. Many of the studies that have been conducted lack one or more features of the randomized controlled trial (RCT), which is the gold standard for evaluating biomedical or behavioral interventions. An RCT compares a treatment with a different treatment or with a placebo and randomly assigns subjects to experimental and control (comparison) groups. RCTs are often blinded (that is, the subjects or the scientists administering the experiment, or both, do not know which treatment each subject is receiving) and, ideally, include sample sizes large enough for study results to achieve statistical significance. A well-designed RCT seeks to account for all possible variables that may influence the study results.

* Current moderate or heavier drinker—Adults who, on average, consumed more than 3 drinks per week up to 14 drinks per week for men and more than 3 drinks per week up to 7 drinks per week for women were moderate drinkers. Adults who, on average, consumed more than 14 drinks per week for men and more than 7 drinks per week for women were heavier drinkers. To be considered a current moderate or heavier drinker, the survey participant had to have consumed at least 12 drinks in his or her lifetime.7

** Mental disorders included anxiety, impulse control, and mood disorders.
These features of the RCT can present challenges when assessing complementary practices. For example, some complementary practices involve multiple components (e.g., movement combined with meditation and deep breathing); teasing apart the effects of each component adds to the already considerable amount of time, funds, and labor required to conduct an RCT. Also, when studying interventions such as yoga or acupuncture, it is often not feasible to blind study participants and those administering the intervention. Yet another challenge is that complementary health practitioners typically customize the treatment to the individual. For purposes of an RCT, however, the intervention must be standardized, which can make a study’s results less relevant to real-world application. The challenges of evaluating complementary health approaches through well-designed RCTs are prompting health researchers to explore alternative trial designs.

Another challenge in evaluating the efficacy of complementary health approaches is the ongoing debate over the value of the placebo effect. Evidence on a variety of complementary practices indicates that positive effects that occur may be attributable not to the treatment itself, but rather to the interaction between the complementary health practitioner and the patient, the patient’s beliefs and expectations about the treatment, and the setting and cultural context in which it is provided. Evidence that the placebo effect may play a potentially significant role in some complementary therapies has led some researchers to label complementary health approaches as “placebos.” However, some researchers claim that the placebo effect is a powerful force that can be effectively harnessed through complementary health approaches to facilitate the body’s ability to heal itself.

The task of helping clients make informed, evidence-based decisions about complementary health approaches is complicated by—as just described—the lack of convincing data, questions about the most appropriate means of evaluating these practices, and the unresolved controversy over the role of placebo in treatment. Presented below are summaries of the existing evidence on selected complementary health approaches for mental or substance use disorders, as provided by systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

**Acupuncture**

Acupuncture is a low-risk, low-cost therapy that, based on anecdotal evidence, can relieve physical withdrawal symptoms, help with relaxation, and suppress cravings for drugs and alcohol. A small percentage of substance abuse treatment programs—4.4 percent—offer acupuncture as an adjunct therapy.

Some systematic reviews have focused specifically on acupuncture for treatment of disorders involving opioids, alcohol, and cocaine. These reviews did not find evidence of efficacy, and the authors have concluded that more research is needed. A 2009 review of clinical trials found some evidence for acupuncture’s effectiveness with opioid withdrawal but not for treatment of other conditions such as alcohol withdrawal, nicotine relapse prevention, or cocaine dependence. A 2013 review of 48 RCTs testing acupuncture for use with patients who had alcohol, cocaine, nicotine, or opioid dependence concluded that nearly half of the clinical trials reviewed had at least one positive result (e.g., on craving), indicating that different types of acupuncture may have beneficial effects at different points in the withdrawal and recovery process.

A substantial number of studies have been done on acupuncture as a treatment for mental disorders. A review published by the American Psychiatric Association’s Task Force on Complementary and Alternative Medicine concluded that the data do not suggest that acupuncture is effective in treating major depressive disorder.
Mindfulness meditation
An increasing amount of research has focused on mindfulness meditation, with 477 articles published in academic journals in 2012 alone. In a systematic review of 14 randomized and 10 nonrandomized controlled trials, the authors found evidence that mindfulness-based interventions can reduce consumption of substances of abuse when compared with various controls, and they found preliminary evidence that the interventions can reduce cravings.

Movement therapies
For the treatment of mental or substance use disorders, exercise is theorized to provide social and psychological benefits by increasing socialization, improving emotional regulation, decreasing sensitivity to anxiety, and improving stress management. It is also postulated that because exercise triggers neurological effects similar to those produced by opioid drugs, exercise may serve as a substitute for substance use.

Exercise to promote physical, mental, emotional, or spiritual health is called movement therapy. Some studies have investigated movement therapies in relation to specific mental or substance use disorders. A 2013 meta-analysis of 37 RCTs found that exercise is moderately more effective than no therapy or a control intervention for reducing symptoms of depression. A 2011 meta-analysis of 10 RCTs found that yoga-based interventions have a statistically significant effect when used as an adjunct for treating severe mental illness, especially when current treatment modalities are inadequate or have adverse effects (e.g., weight gain, cardiovascular disease). A 2014 review of eight studies on yoga for treatment of addictions reported that seven of those studies showed positive effects; the article authors concluded that the results are “encouraging but inconclusive” because of methodological limitations.

Although evidence of exercise’s effect on mental and substance use disorders is inconclusive, the benefits of routine physical exercise for overall health, wellness, and quality of life are well documented. At the least, exercise can be a helpful adjunct therapy to behavioral health treatment, and clients may benefit from participation in a movement-based complementary practice such as yoga, Pilates, or tai chi. A doctor’s guidance on appropriate types of exercise or movement therapy is advised for clients who are pregnant, have a specific medical condition (e.g., multiple sclerosis, back injury, osteoporosis), or have not exercised in a long time.

Natural products
Of the many dietary supplements marketed to consumers as having mental health benefits, two of the most popular are omega-3 supplements and SAMe (S-adenosyl methionine). Some omega-3 fatty acids are essential nutrients obtained from food sources such as fatty fish and certain plants such as flax. SAMe is a chemical that is naturally found in almost all tissues in the body. Exhibit 4 provides evidence of effectiveness and cautions for both of these products.

Many herbal products are also marketed to consumers for treatment of mental disorders. Exhibit 4 lists two examples, kava and St. John’s wort. Among the many other botanicals marketed as treatments for mental health conditions are brook mint for anxiety or insomnia, chamomile for insomnia, lavender for anxiety or insomnia, linden for insomnia and nervous tension, passion flower for insomnia and anxiety, and valerian for anxiety.

RCTs and systematic reviews provide little evidence that homeopathic medicines are effective for any specific condition. The key ingredient can be extremely diluted, so the principle of action does not appear to be science-based. Even when the main ingredient is highly diluted, there may be other active ingredients in the mixture, including alcohol and metals, that can cause side effects and drug interactions.
### Exhibit 4. Examples of Natural Products Marketed to Consumers for Treatment of Mental Disorders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Example of Use</th>
<th>Evidence of Effectiveness</th>
<th>Cautions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 fatty acids (found in fatty fish, flax, and other dietary sources)</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>May have benefit as an adjunct to standard pharmacologic therapy for depression.</td>
<td>May interact with anticoagulants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMe (chemical found naturally in the body)</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>May have benefit as an adjunct to standard pharmacologic therapy for depression.</td>
<td>Close medical supervision is advised for patients with bipolar disorder or on tricyclic antidepressants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kava (<em>Piper methysticum,</em> plant)</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>May have anxiolytic effect.</td>
<td>Safety risks outweigh benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adversely interacts with several classes of drugs, including some sedatives, benzodiazepines, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Has been linked to severe liver damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Should not be taken with alcohol because of risk of excessive sedation and harm to the liver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. John’s wort (<em>Hypericum perforatum,</em> herb)</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Some evidence exists to support use for treating mild to moderate depression in adults.</td>
<td>Active compounds in St. John’s wort interact with other medications to render them less effective and potentially cause serious side effects. Such herb–drug interactions have been documented for MAOIs and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, used to treat depression. Interactions have also been documented for medications to treat other conditions, including HIV/AIDS, Parkinson’s disease, and cancer. St. John’s wort can also interfere with the efficacy of oral contraceptives, anticonvulsants, immunosuppressants used with transplantation, anticoagulants, and other types of medications. Products made from St. John’s wort vary considerably in the quantity and quality of their active compounds, leading to variability in interactive and other side effects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Information on the utility, efficacy, and safety of other nutritional supplements can be obtained from *PDR for Nutritional Supplements* (2008). This reference book provides monographs on nutritional supplements currently in use, and it indexes those monographs in multiple ways, including by indications, side effects, and interactions. Information on herbal products can be obtained from *PDR for Herbal Medicines* (2007). This reference book includes monographs indexed by indications, side effects, herb–drug interactions, and safety, among other categories.
Ayurvedic medicine has not been sufficiently studied in RCTs to determine effectiveness.3 Ayurvedic medicines in the subset called rasa shastra have additional ingredients deliberately added; these additives may include metals, minerals, and gems. A study of 230 such products purchased over the Internet from India or the United States found that nearly 21 percent contained detectable levels of lead, mercury, or arsenic.36

What Guidance Can Behavioral Health Service Practitioners Offer?

Practitioners can help clients understand that the offered treatment and support services are evidence-based; that is, high-quality research has shown the services to have positive outcomes. A practitioner can also help a client realize the importance of practitioner–client communication about complementary therapies, to ensure that any such therapies that the client tries are supported by evidence of effectiveness and do not present risk of side effects or adverse interactions. Suggestions for managing the practitioner–client conversation follow.

- Be prepared with language to explain to clients the meaning of “evidence-based” and to succinctly describe how any recommended treatment and services have been evaluated. Be ready to explain that if a first course of treatment is not effective in moving the client into sustained recovery, other evidence-based courses of treatment can be tried.
- Become better informed about complementary health approaches and the evidence base and cautions for these practices in behavioral health treatment (see Resources).
- Question clients about the use of complementary practices during intake and at regular intervals. Ask clients to report all therapies they use for any purpose, not just for treatment of a mental or substance use disorder. Convey tolerance and respect when discussing clients’ use of complementary health approaches, and support their interest in asserting control over their own health.
- Encourage clients who are taking or considering taking any natural products (including Ayurvedic, homeopathic, or naturopathic remedies) to confer with a medical care practitioner or pharmacist. Urge clients who are pregnant or nursing to talk with their prenatal care practitioner. Advise clients on prescription medication to confer with their medical care practitioners about potential herb–drug interactions. Advise clients who will be undergoing surgery to confer with their medical care practitioners about any use of herbal medicines, because some can affect heart rate, blood pressure, or rate of bleeding and therefore should not be used for 2 to 3 weeks before surgery.37
- Advise clients seeking to improve their overall health through dietary supplements not to overlook the importance of a nutritious diet and exercise. Direct clients to nutritional counseling and information sources on safe and appropriate exercise.
- Advise clients that a great deal of misinformation about the safety and efficacy of complementary practices exists, especially on the Internet. Refer them to credible resources for evidence-based information (see Resources).

Resources

Academic Consortium for Integrative Medicine and Health
List of Consortium schools offering clinically-oriented training opportunities
www.imconsortium.org/training_jobs/opportunities -clinical.cfm

American Psychiatric Association Practice Guidelines
www.psychiatryonline.org/guidelines

The Cochrane Library
Searchable database of systematic reviews of research on health practices, including complementary health approaches
http://cochrane.org
ADVISORY

Food and Drug Administration
Tips for Dietary Supplement Users
www.fda.gov/Food/DietarySupplements/UsingDietarySupplements/ucm110567.htm

Integrative Medicine at Yale
List of free online educational modules
http://medicine.yale.edu/integrativemedicine/education/resources.aspx

National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health
Be an Informed Consumer (factsheets and resources)
http://nccih.nih.gov/health/decisions

Health Topics A–Z
http://nccih.nih.gov/health/atoz.htm

Online Continuing Education Series (free online video lectures; continuing education credits available)
http://nccih.nih.gov/training/videolectures

Office of Dietary Supplements
Information for professionals and the public
http://ods.od.nih.gov

Notes
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